

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 31, 2001 LB 225

Senator Vrtiska's question. In response to Senator Bromm, I like the idea of program evaluation. Senator Beutler brought me some language earlier today. I thought it made perfect sense. I told him I'd support the amendment. It's not before us. Maybe we'd have a chance on Select File. If I understand Senator Chambers right, he says, you know, this amendment is offered not to bring an end to this discussion but because it's going to minimize the damage of a bad bill. I think that would be a fair characterization of his argument. Now three years is an adequate window of time to evaluate. At that point, it can be spun off and let somebody else do the work and the state can get out of it. I would accept a program evaluation amendment. I'd suggest that we do it on Select File. I think we go back to the Chambers amendment, I'm going to vote against it. I think the bill, as currently drafted, is acceptable. Certainly, I have supported it and I do not support one year, which is far too small a window, and there's nothing wrong with three years. It...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...it depends on whether you think it's a good idea or not. If it's a good idea, then three years is fine. If it's a bad idea, one year is too long, isn't it, Senator Chambers? Which is why I think he's saying even if you adopt my amendment it's still a bad bill and I'm still against it. Right? So, if you think it's a bad bill, just simply vote against it as opposed to minimizing it. If you think it's a good bill, let it work.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, sometimes I can make my point a little better by drawing an analogy. "Parson" Bush wants to give money to churches. People would probably expect me to be against that. You all don't know who "Parson" Bush is, "Deacon" Bush? The one who stole the election. Now I got your attention. He wants to give money to churches, to these zealots, to these discriminatory, intolerant, narrow-minded people. He wants to give them money that comes from my taxes, and I don't even go to church. What would make